IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

ROSE ADANMA DURU,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	No. 3:15-CV-0436-D
	§	
U-HAUL CO. OF NORTHERN	§	
GEORGIA, ET AL.	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

ORDER

After making an independent review of the pleadings, files, and records in this case, and the findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the magistrate judge, the court concludes that the findings and conclusions are correct. It is therefore ordered that the findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the magistrate judge are adopted.

It is therefore ordered that this action is summarily dismissed without prejudice as duplicative of a pending action, and that plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma* pauperis is denied as moot.

The court certifies that any appeal of this action would not be taken in good faith. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3). In support of this finding, the court adopts and incorporates by reference the magistrate judge's findings, conclusions, and recommendation. See Baugh v. Taylor, 117 F.3d 197, 202 n.21 (5th Cir. 1997). Based on the findings and recommendation, the court finds that any appeal of this action would present no legal point of arguable merit and would, therefore, be

Case 3:15-cv-00436-D-BN Document 7 Filed 03/30/15 Page 2 of 2 PageID 36

frivolous. *Howard v. King*, 707 F.2d 215, 220 (5th Cir. 1983). If plaintiff appeals, she may challenge this certification by filing a separate motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal with the Clerk of the Court, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. *See Baugh*, 117 F.3d at 202; Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(5).

SO ORDERED.

March 30, 2015.

SIDNEY A. FITZWATER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE